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MED PROGRAMME
OVERVIEW
Mediterranean basin – strategic area

- **9 EU member states**: Portugal, Spain, France, Italy, Slovenia, Cyprus, Malta, Greece and UK (Gibraltar)
- **2 candidate Counties**: Croatia, Montenegro
- **2 potential candidate Counties**: Bosnia-Herzegovina, Albania

**4 Axes**
- **Axe 1**: Innovation
- **Axe 2**: Environment protection
- **Axe 3**: Transport and accessibility
- **Axe 4**: Polycentric development and governance
钥元素

- **挑战性的框架**
  - 前期INTERREG III B项目区域MEDOCC和ARCHIMED的合并
  - MED区域作为唯一的合作区域

- **挑战性的方法**
  - 从经典召唤到战略召唤
  - 实验性方法，不同的方法—从自下而上到自上而下

- **挑战性的金融机制**
  - 更进一步的MED区域整合：IPA共享管理，为候选国和潜在候选国
Total ERDF: 181,6 M €
Total IPA: 5,4 M € available from 2nd strategic call

Already programmed: 133,9 M € ERDF - 104 projects
  - 2 classical calls: 117,7 M € - 101 running projects
  - 1 strategic call: 16,2 M € - 3 projects selected under Obj. 2.2

Renewable energies – Energy efficiency

NEXT STEP

2nd strategic call: available indicative 36 M € ERDF + 5,4 M € IPA
FOCUS ON STRATEGIC PROJECTS
THE APPROACH

**Process:**
- Draft project (except Obj. 2.3)
- Structured exchange with MED
- Systematic exchange with NCPs
- Projects selection by the SC

**Terms of Reference**

**Content:**
- Strategic issues
- Strategic and wider partnership
- Strategic and long lasting results

**Top-down procedure**

- Working group
- External expert
- Brainstormings
KEY INFORMATION

- OPEN CALLS
- QUALITY OF PROPOSALS
- PROCEDURES
- FINANCIAL ISSUES
**Obj. 2.3**
*Maritime risks prevention and maritime safety*

**Obj. 3.1**
*Strengthening Mediterranean ports activities and EU accessibility with simpler norms and a better use of information technologies*

**Obj. 3.2**
*Promotion of information and communication technologies for a better accessibility of rural and remote areas*

Budget available
- 11 M € ERDF
- 20 M € ERDF
- 5 M € ERDF
OPEN CALLS [2]

Top down approach

ToRs define Programme’s Objectives and Work packages to be developed

WORK PACKAGES STRUCTURTE

Compulsory work packages
(1-2-3) Management - Information - Capitalisation

Chose between optional *(at least one)* technical work packages
(4-5-6) specific for each Objective

Not allowed to create new work packages
Qualities of Proposals [1]

Development – Key elements

Feasibility
Clear identification of transnational issue tackled in terms of problem/weakness/needs of MED area and related objectives
Avoid broad objectives thus not realistic

Definition of adapted solutions/tools/expected results

Definition of related activities to meet objectives/solutions/tools

Identification of target groups
Who are the targets beyond the partnership that will benefit from project results?

Define related relevant partnership
QUALITY OF PROPOSALS [2]

Strong and coherent partnership

**Partnership**
Ensure involvement and commitment of right key players: competences / institutional and political support/operational (regional authorities, State services, specialised institutions,...)

**Balance** between institutional and operational PPs:
- political and institutional support + operational dimension

**Wide geographical coverage**
Partners from eligible MED countries – Min 6 MS
QUALITY OF PROPOSALS [3]

Long lasting and real impact on cooperation area
Innovative/added value

Concrete commitment of key players on the way results of the project will be further developed and promoted

Lead to concrete policy agreements, memorandum of understanding able to ensure long lasting effects

TRANSNATIONAL LEVEL

Practical approach
Directly useful for local/ regional/national authorities.
Tangible impact on actors and territories.
Not limited to analyses with theoretical approach.
Minimum experimentation

Innovation: added value if compared to past and ongoing activities

Trigger additional/complementary financial resources to ensure the continuation after the project’s end
Coherence with MED and EU/national/regional policies

Coherence with MED Axis/objective taking into account specifics in Terms of reference

Take in due consideration existing initiatives and policies/programmes at EU and national/regional level

Capitalise!

Take in due consideration results/problems from projects implemented during previous programming period
PROCEDURES [1]

Obj. 2.3

1st April 2011
Publication ToRs

15th April 2011
Submission registration eligible LPs

JTS sends password

18th April 2011
PRESAGE open final application F.

30th June 2011
Submission final AF

Projects selection

To be defined

1 PHASE ONLY
3 MONTHS
PROCEDURES [2]

Obj. 3.1 – 3.2

2 steps procedure
1\textsuperscript{st} step: draft proposal – 2 months
2\textsuperscript{nd} step: final application - 4 months

1\textsuperscript{st} April 2011

Publication
ToRs

30th May 2011

Submission
draft project
by eligible LPs

JTS sends
password

1\textsuperscript{st} June 2011

PRESAGE open
final application
F.

30th September 2011

To be defined

Projects
selection

Submission the
final AF

LP

NCP

PPs
FINANCIAL ISSUES [1]

Obj. 2.3
Maritime risks prevention and maritime safety
Min. 3.5 M € – Max. 7.5 M €

Obj. 3.1
Strengthening Mediterranean ports activities and EU accessibility with simpler norms and a better use of information technologies
Min. 3.5 – Max. not fixed general indication

Obj. 3.2
Promotion of information and communication technologies for a better accessibility of rural and remote areas
Min. 1 M € – Max. 3 M €

IPA partners
Min 85,000 € IPA Funds
Defining activities before budget: First define activities needed to achieve fixed objectives. After necessary related budget of PPs to develop activities.

- **Coherence**: with the Working Plan. Clear link between description of activities/outputs and foreseen budget. Justification

- **Effectiveness**: achieving defined objectives and foreseen results. Project relation/relevance

- **Efficiency**: best relationship between foreseen economic resources and activities/outputs/deliverables

- **Transnationality**: budget should reflect the active participation of all PPs. PPs should have a reasonable budget to ensure a concrete active and balanced participation
MORE INFO?

www.programmemed.eu

Contact directly JTS

Thank you for your attention!